Melicien Tettambel, DO, FAAO, a beloved osteopathic physician specializing in obstetrics and gynecology passed away Sept. 11, 2013. Dr. Tettambel, along with Richard A. Feely, DO, FAAO, FCA, and Melvin R. Friedman, DO, presents the Founder of Osteopathy Award to Viola Frymann, DO, FAAODist, FCA, at the 2011 AAO Convocation in Colorado Springs (top right); Dr. Tettambel with James H. Gronemeyer, DO, and Angelique C. Mizera, DO (bottom left); and with Ray Hruby, DO, and Jim McGovern, PhD, after the Scott Memorial Lecture at Kirksville College of Osteopathic Medicine in 1998 (bottom right).
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AAO Calendar of Events

Mark your calendar for these upcoming Academy meetings and educational courses.

All times local.

2014

March 15-18  New Approach to Osteo-Articular Manipulations Including the Superior and Inferior Limbs (Pre-Convo), Jean-Pierre Barral, DO (France); Kenneth J. Lossing, DO—The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

March 17-18  Osteopathic Approach to Common ENT Complaints of Childhood (Pre-Convo)—Heather P. Ferrill, DO, The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

March 17-18  Fascial Distortion Model (Pre-Convo)—Todd A. Capistrant, DO, The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

March 18  COFAAO Meeting—The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

March 19  Board of Trustees Meeting—8:00 am, The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

March 19  Board of Governors Meeting—1:00 pm, The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

March 19-23  AAO Convocation—Trauma: An Integrated Osteopathic Approach
Denise K. Burns, DO, FAAO, Program Chair—The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

March 20  AAO Business Meeting of the Membership—12:00 pm, The Broadmoor, Colorado Springs, CO

May 30-June 1  CANCELLED—Muscle Energy with Sally Sutton, DO, FAAO—Richard G. Schuster, DO—MUCOM, Indianapolis, IN

June 13-15  Sports Osteopathy—Kurt P. Heinking, DO, FAAO—CCOM, Downers Grove, IL

July 18–19  Ultrasound-Guided Injections—Sajid A. Surve, DO—UNTHSC-TCOM, Fort Worth, TX

Sutherland Cranial Teaching Foundation
Upcoming Courses

SCTF Basic Course:
Osteopathy in the Cranial Field
June 6–10, 2014
Portland, Oregon
Course Director: Dr. Duncan Soule
40 hrs 1A CME anticipated

At The Double Tree Hotel at the Lloyd Center
1000 NE Multnomah
Portland, Oregon

direct link from the airport to the hotel via the Max Light Rail Line
2 restaurants and a fitness center available

Visit our website for enrollment forms and course details: www.sctf.com
Contact: Joy Cunningham 509-758-8090
Email: jcunningham4715@yahoo.com
As we look forward to spring and an osteopathic homecoming at the Broadmoor for Convocation, let us note some of the highlights. The theme for the meeting is “Trauma: An Integrative Osteopathic Approach,” chaired by Denise Burns, DO, FAAO. Trauma, whether acute or chronic, physical or emotional, is always a consideration as osteopathic physicians provide treatment to our patients. We have some familiar faces and some new faces stepping up to provide lectures and hands-on lab sessions on various facets of this jewel. There will be some international presenters such as Jean Marie A.T. Beuckels, DO, FSc (Hons.) Osteo. Med., Msc. Ost. On this side of the pond, Anthony Capobianco, DO, has stepped in to cover obstetrical trauma after the loss of Dr. Tettambel. Don't forget to stop by and view the OMM Research Posters, and please consider attending the new LBORC-sponsored Research Forum Friday afternoon. There are a growing number of pre-Convocation courses: New Approach to Upper and Lower Extremities; ENT Complaints in Children; and Fascial Distortion Model, for those wanting to “Dig On” further. The concurrent SAAO program for students continues to be a huge success for passing on our osteopathic hands and hearts to the next generation thanks to many of you who have volunteered your time and talents. We look forward to renewing old friendships and making new ones. We look forward to seeing you in Colorado!

Book Review—Healing Pain and Injury, by Maud Nerman, DO, CSPOMM, CA

Trauma, as encountered in human experience, is reflected in many ways in the body. Despite best intention, resolution is often elusive through conventional medical, as well as alternative, approaches. The passage of time serves only to more deeply encode the garbled transmission of abnormal messages throughout the body’s tissues. Dr. Nerman, an osteopathic physician, has done a masterful job of providing perspective and patient-centered orientation necessary to achieve alleviation for suffering patients. Seen in the traditional view of osteopathic medical practice, it is small wonder that she has been so successful. More importantly, Dr. Nerman, throughout the text, clearly demonstrates understanding and applicability of scientific knowledge in the management of the clinical situation. This is accomplished through the use of language which is digestible for the patient. It can only be wished that medical practice in general would demonstrate such accommodation.

For patients and practitioners who encounter the many faces of trauma, Healing Pain and Injury provides much needed guidance to more compassionate, knowledgeable and successful interaction with the patient’s path to recovery.

Copyright © 2014 Bay Tree Publishing

Anthony G. Chila, DO, FAAO Dist., FCA
My long-time friend and colleague, Melicien (Mel) Tettambel, DO, FAAO, passed away on September 11, 2013. An energetic person, with a strong passion for the osteopathic profession, Mel achieved an astounding number of accomplishments during her all-too-short lifetime. Among her achievements: board certification in Obstetrics and Gynecology, and also in Neuromusculoskeletal Medicine and Osteopathic Manipulative Medicine; an illustrious teaching career that included teaching at several of our osteopathic colleges, as well as teaching nationally and internationally; Past President of the American Academy of Osteopathy (AAO), President of the Sutherland Cranial Teaching Foundation (SCTF), Fellow in the AAO and Distinguished Fellow in the American College of Osteopathic Obstetricians and Gynecologists; a number of research projects and an impressive list of publications. At the time of her death, she was Professor and Chair of the Department of Osteopathic Principles and Practice, Pacific Northwest University, College of Osteopathic Medicine in Yakima, Washington.

This brief listing of accomplishments barely scratches the surface and does not even remotely do justice to Mel's many achievements; however, rather than continue with this line of discussion, I would like to talk about Mel Tettambel, the person that I knew.

I can't remember exactly when I first met Mel. I don't recall being formally introduced to her, so my best recollection is that we first became acquainted while working together on one or more AAO committees. Somehow it wasn't long before we became great friends, so much that I can't seem to remember a time when I didn't know Mel. Having her as a friend and colleague was that comfortable, as though it had always been that way. When I became Chair of the OMM Department at the College of Osteopathic Medicine of the Pacific, I invited Mel on an annual basis to be a visiting professor for our students, and my wife and I would always have her stay as a guest in our home, allowing us to get to know her even better. In recent years Mel returned the favor by inviting me to come to Yakima to teach her students, and I had the pleasure of staying with her and her husband in their beautiful home.

How should I describe Mel Tettambel? Before I do that, let me tell you that I have always had a fascination with heroes, and one of my favorite things to do is to ask people who their heroes are in life. Personally, I learned a long time ago that one can have literally thousands of heroic figures in his or her life. Heroes are not always famous leaders or celebrities, but rather ordinary everyday people with remarkable characteristics that impress us and make us want to be like them. And no, not every hero wears a cape. Mel Tettambel was certainly a hero to me. She was an intelligent, honest, hard-working and energetic professional who touched many lives, including mine. One didn't have to be around Mel very long at all to realize that she cared deeply about everyone around her: family, friends, colleagues, her students, and especially her patients.

Again, I could go on and on trying to describe my impressions of Mel. I am, however, reminded of the poem “Success,” written by Bessie Anderson Stanley (and often erroneously attributed to Robert Louis Stevenson) that I believe sums up in very short order just about everything I could say about Mel Tettambel:

He has achieved success who has lived well, laughed often, and loved much;
Who has gained the respect of intelligent men and the love of little children;
Who has filled this niche and accomplished his task;
Who has left the world better than he found it;
Who has looked for the best in others and given the best he had;
Whose life was an inspiration
Whose memory is a benediction

The world, and all of us who knew her, are better off because Mel Tettambel was in it, and we are all just a little diminished because of her passing. It was a pleasure and an honor to have known such an amazing person. Rest in peace, Mel. Rest in peace.
Course Director
Sajid A. Surve, DO, is a 2005 graduate of the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey–School of Osteopathic Medicine. After completing a traditional rotating internship at Delaware County Memorial Hospital in Drexel Hill, PA, he became an inaugural resident, and the first Chief Resident, of the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation residency at Long Beach Medical Center in Long Beach, NY. He joined the faculty of UMDNJSOM in 2009 and completed an NMM/OMM residency in 2010.

Course Location
University of North Texas Health Science Center
Texas College of Osteopathic Medicine
3500 Camp Bowie Blvd.
Fort Worth, TX 76107

Course Times
Friday and Saturday: 8:00 am - 5:30 pm
Breakfast/lunch provided. Please contact Sherrie Warner with special dietary needs: (317) 879-1881 or swarner@academyofosteopathy.org.

Travel Arrangements
Contact Tina Callahan of Globally Yours Travel at (800) 274-5975 or globallyyourstravel@cox.net.

Course Description
This course is designed for physicians who are novices at sonographic guidance for injections. Under the direction of physiatrist Sajid Surve, DO, course participants will be introduced to the basic principles of ultrasound, learn proper injection techniques with ultrasound guidance and learn proper billing and coding for this procedure. Cadavers will be available for practice, and table trainers will facilitate a low faculty-to-participant ratio. The course will focus on the injection of the major joints: glenohumeral, sacroiliac, hip and knee.

Course Objectives
Upon completion of this course, participants will be able to:
• apply the basic principles of musculoskeletal ultrasound;
• comfortably navigate the necessary equipment required for sonographic guidance of injections;
• utilize proper injection techniques under sonographic guidance for the glenohumeral, sacroiliac, hip and knee joints;
• bill, code and document correctly for ultrasound-guided injections; and
• avoid common pitfalls associated with the above procedures.

CME
16 hours of AOA Category 1-A credit is anticipated
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Osteopathic Manipulative Treatment of Pelvic Dysfunction in a Postpartum Patient with Co-Morbid Headaches: A Case Report

Rebecca Kant, OMS III; and Murray R. Berkowitz, DO, MA, MS, MPH

Abstract

Sciatica is a common chief complaint addressed by medical professionals in today’s society and can prove to be extremely debilitating if left untreated. The musculoskeletal changes seen in pregnancy can often lead to an exacerbation or recurrence of this condition. Osteopathic manipulative treatment (OMT) can provide an additional method of managing these symptoms by treating somatic dysfunctions that persist into the postpartum period. A 28-year-old female three months postpartum was seen in our clinic for recurrent right hip pain, right-sided sciatica and chronic headaches. Using osteopathic manipulative techniques, the patient’s somatic dysfunctions were removed and her symptoms immediately resolved post-treatment. Although initially successful, the patient returned to the clinic 11 days later reporting a recurrence of her symptoms and was again treated with osteopathic manipulative treatment. This case study examines the persistence of somatic dysfunction into the postpartum period and the benefits of taking an osteopathic manipulative approach toward resolving the symptoms of sciatica in this specific population.

Introduction and Epidemiology

A common chief complaint addressed in today’s society by a variety of physicians, sciatica can be extremely debilitating and can drastically alter an individual’s quality of life. Sciatica is a symptom rather than a condition by itself. It is defined as “pain, tingling, or numbness in the back, hip, or leg caused by compression or injury to the sciatic nerve.” The pain experienced by individuals with sciatica is often sharp, shooting and confined to one leg. It can be exacerbated by even the slightest activity, including sneezing or coughing too forcefully, or even by sitting the wrong way. This symptom is most commonly due to degeneration or displacement of an intervertebral disc leading to compression on a spinal nerve root; however, there can be multiple possible etiologies.3

Sciatica, and low back pain in general, are among the most common complaints of pregnancy, with 50-80% of women reporting experiencing some type of low back pain during pregnancy.3 During the nine months prior to delivery, a female undergoes both gravity-induced and hormonal changes that alter the musculoskeletal dynamic. As the uterus and fetus enlarge, a center of gravity change forces the female to compensate by leaning backwards. This leads to an increase in lumbar lordosis and, in turn, causes a compensatory increase in thoracic kyphosis. These slight adjustments tend to open up the facet joints, creating a higher degree of instability.4 Alongside these changes, hormonal influence also contributes to the structural alterations seen in pregnancy. The hormone relaxin, produced by the corpus luteum, is first expressed around the tenth or twelfth week. Appropriately named, this hormone tends to soften and "relax" the ligaments that hold the sacroiliac joints and pubic symphysis in place in preparation for the birth process.3

Often these changes leading up to pregnancy persist through to the postpartum period. This is thought to be attributed to the prolonged amount of time spent in the dorsal lithotomy position throughout delivery. During this period, the sciatic nerve can be stretched and compressed in the gluteal region.5 In instances of prolonged labor, constant pressure on the lumbosacral trunk as it crosses the pelvic brim combined with the inability to reposition may greatly contribute to lasting nerve injury.3 In the Wong et al study, "Incidence of postpartum lumbosacral spine and lower extremity nerve injuries," only a 0.92% incidence of new nerve injury was reported following delivery, with nulliparity and a prolonged second stage of labor being the most contributory factors.6 However, incidence of a recurrent nerve injury following pregnancy may be higher in those who have pre-existing sciatica or low back pain.

History

The patient is a 28-year-old Caucasian female who presented to the OMM clinic at Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine–Georgia campus complaining of right hip pain, right-sided sciatica and chronic headaches. On her first visit to the clinic, she was three
months postpartum from an induced vaginal delivery of her first child.

The patient has right-side hip pain and sciatica, which presented prior to her pregnancy. Her hip pain is dull and achy while her sciatica pain is sharp and shoots down the back side of her right thigh to her knee. She described this pain as an 8/10, and following ibuprofen as a 5/10. Prior to her pregnancy, the patient went to see a chiropractor regarding this pain, where it was temporarily reduced to 0/10. Following her pregnancy, her sciatica pain has returned to its initial level of 8/10 without medication.

The patient has a long history of migraines preceded by a visual aura she described as “a central bright spot followed by darkness closing in on both sides.” Immediately following this aura, she experiences numbness and aphasia leading up to the migraine. The patient described this pain as sharp and localized to one side of her head. Pain is a 9/10 initially, but is relieved to a 6/10 upon taking Imitrex. She stated that she has experienced two migraines in the past year, one of these episodes being one month prior to her visit. The patient also experiences headaches without an aura, occurring fairly often at approximately two to three times each week. These have been relieved by ibuprofen 400-800mg once per day; however, the patient does not wish to continue taking this daily medication. She has been to see a neurologist regarding these headaches, especially due to the stroke-like characteristics which precede her migraines. Although the possibility of a CVA or TIA has been ruled out successfully, little has been done to significantly decrease the severity or frequency of these episodes.

Other than her hip pain, sciatica and headaches, the patient’s only other chronic medical condition is a TMJ dysfunction she has had since childhood. She has had no previous surgeries and has no known drug allergies. Her current medications include prenatal vitamins and an OTC probiotic. She is married, has one child, and works as a pediatric cardiology nurse. She denies the use of tobacco products or illicit drugs, and she drinks only the occasional glass of wine. The patient has a family history of stroke on the maternal side and myocardial infarction on her paternal side. She denies any fever, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea or loss of consciousness. She does have vision changes; however, these occur only as part of the aura preceding her migraines.

**Physical Exam**

On physical exam, blood pressure was 110/70, pulse was 78, and respiratory rate was 14. The patient was well-groomed and well-developed, alert, awake and oriented to time, person and place and in no acute distress. Her head was atraumatic and normocephalic. Extraocular muscles were intact and pupils were equally round and reactive to light. Her neck was supple and no lymphadenopathy or thyromegaly were appreciated. Cardiac exam revealed a faint 1/6 murmur loudest at the right second intercostal space, but also appreciated at the left second intercostal space. Rhythm and rate were regular, with no rubs or gallops. Lungs were clear to auscultation bilaterally, with no wheezes, rhonchi or rales. Neurologic exam revealed that CN II–XII were grossly intact, muscle strength was 5/5 all around and deep tendon reflexes were +2 in all areas with a bilateral +3 patellar reflex. Patient had a normal gait and no motor or sensory deficits were appreciated.

The patient’s osteopathic structural exam revealed several somatic dysfunctions. Both the sagittal suture and the right occipitomastoid suture were compressed, and there was tenderness at the insertion of the capitis muscle on the right side. Her cervical dysfunctions included OA ER₃₋₁, C₂-C₄ ER₅₋₇ and C₅-C₆ NR₁₋₇. AA rotation was asymmetric, with 80 degrees of rotation to the left and only 60 degrees of rotation to the right. Thoracic/costal dysfunction included T₃-T₄ NR₁₋₁ and a posterior rib 5. In the lumbar region she exhibited an L₃-L₅ NR₁₋₁ dysfunction. Standing flexion test was positive followed by a negative lumbosacral spring test and a positive lumbosacral ligament test. Further examination revealed an anteriorly rotated innominate bone on the right.

**Treatment**

Osteopathic manipulative treatment was performed to treat the somatic dysfunctions found during the physical examination. In the cranial region, the compressed sutures were treated with a sagittal suture decompression and an occipitomastoid suture decompression with a V-spread. Due to the patient’s history of chronic headaches, CV₄ and vault-hold and frontal lift techniques to treat the SBS were performed to help alleviate potential causes of pain. Counterstrain techniques were used for cranial tenderpoints. Treatment of cervical dysfunctions consisted of soft tissue, muscle energy, counterstrain, Still technique and suboccipital release. Both thoracic and lumbar dysfunctions were treated using muscle energy and HVLA techniques, helping to normalize the spine and increase range of motion. The patient’s posterior rib 5 was also treated using muscle energy, decreasing the asymmetry found in this region. Hip and pelvic somatic dysfunctions were addressed using counterstrain, muscle energy and HVLA.
A.T. STILL UNIVERSITY SEEKS OMM FACULTY

ATSU-KCOM has two full-time OMM faculty positions open. Responsibilities include five half days academic and five half days clinical (outpatient and inpatient OMM consultation service). Great opportunity for residents graduating July 2014 or an OMM couple looking to work together in an academic practice. Now is a great time to apply and secure a position for 2014!

Requirements:
- NMM/OMM or CSPOMM Board Certification or Board Eligibility
- Proficiency in both Direct and Indirect OMT Techniques such as HVLA, Muscle Energy, Counterstrain, Cranial, and Balanced Ligamentous Tension
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- Coordinating, preparing, and delivering OMM lecture and laboratory didactics
- See OMM patients in outpatient and inpatient settings
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Additional qualifications recommended:
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- Proficiency in OMM for children, infants and newborns
- Experience in OMM research
- Proficiency with Microsoft Word and PowerPoint

Salary and Benefits
- Competitive salary with clinical incentives
- Full benefit package – health, life, dental, vision, retirement
- Paid sick leave, vacation, and CME money available


Following treatment, the patient reported decreased tenderness in the cranial region and increased range of motion in the cervical, thoracic and lumbar regions. Hip pain was significantly improved with successful adjustment of the right innominate bone back to its normal position. No sciatica pain was appreciated immediately following the treatment, and the patient reported an overall improvement in her symptoms.

The patient was counseled on stretching at home and increasing her water intake. She was also instructed to take ibuprofen 600-800 mg with food three times daily for five days for pain/inflammation secondary to a treatment reaction beginning within the next 24-48 hours. She was told to call in with progress reports or to call in sooner if she had any other concerns.

The patient returned to the clinic 11 days later due to a recurrence of her symptoms. She described a “tightness” in her right lower back/hip and a feeling that “her right leg felt longer than her left.” Hip pain was characterized as sore and achy with sharp exacerbations with pivoting. Back tightness and pain was described as a 7/10 and more on the right side, but decreased to a 5/10 with cold packs and stretching. She also described having frontal headaches for the three days immediately following her previous treatment. These headaches occurred upon initially waking up in the morning and improved throughout the day. Pain was an 8/10, sharp and localized to the bilateral frontal region of her head. She stated that this pain decreased to a 1/10 with coffee and ibuprofen. She denied having any other headaches besides these three that were immediately post-treatment. The patient also stated that she experienced a “popping” sensation in her right knee four days prior to her return. Pain was at a 9/10 during the “popping” but subsided to a 2/10 feeling of general achiness afterwards. She described continued soreness on the back of her right upper thigh and knee.

On physical exam, the patient was found to have numerous somatic dysfunctions. There was a recurrence in her sagittal suture compression, and her cervical somatic dysfunctions had completely reversed from her previous visit, now exhibiting OA L3-L5 ER, C2–C4 ER, C5–C6 FR, S. A TMJ click was appreciated on the left, with a concurrent left deviation of her jaw. Thoracic and lumbar dysfunctions included T4–T6 NR, S and L3–L5 ER. She had a negative standing flexion test, positive seated flexion test on the left, and positive lumbosacral spring test. She had a right innominate outflare, with her ASIS, patella and malleolus on the right superior to the left and her ILA on the left posterior and inferior. She also exhibited a tender pubic symphysis and right piriformis. Hamstrings were tight bilaterally.

Osteopathic manipulative treatment was used to address these somatic dysfunctions, treating cranial with a sagittal suture decompression and frontal lift, cervical with soft tissue, counterstrain and Still techniques, thoracic with HVLA, and lumbar with muscle energy. Hip and pelvic dysfunctions were treated using an articulatory technique with valsalva assist in order to spread the ischial tuberosities. Pubic gapping muscle energy and sacral rock techniques were also used. Tightness in both hamstrings and in the right piriformis was addressed using muscle energy, and TMJ dysfunction was treated using an HVLA technique. Immediately following these treatments, the patient reported a significant decrease in tenderness and an increase in range of motion. She was again instructed to increase her water intake and to do gentle stretching at home and...
counseled on the possibility of a treatment reaction similar to her first visit. The patient was told to call in after three days and then after the weekend to give progress reports on her symptoms.

Discussion

The patient’s presentation of varied somatic dysfunctions are likely a persistence of musculoskeletal changes that occurred during pregnancy. Since relaxin levels return to baseline within a few weeks following delivery, it is imperative to treat any structural dysfunctions early postpartum while the ligaments remain in a state of increased relaxation. The multiple hip/pelvic somatic dysfunctions seen in the patient indicate possible persistence of a dysfunction developed during the delivery process. As discussed in Wong et al, nulliparity and prolonged labor are the most significant factors contributing to postpartum dysfunction and continued neural injury. As our patient was induced and this was her first child, both of these factors may play a role in the explanation of her hip/pelvic presentations.

Consideration of the relationship between the patient’s persistent hip/pelvic dysfunctions and concurrent headaches is also significant. In his discussion on craniosacral motion, King explains this concept by describing that the central nervous system, cerebrospinal fluid, dural membranes, cranial bones and sacrum are all intimately connected by a unit known as the primary respiratory mechanism. The dura mater, along with surrounding the central nervous system, has direct connections to the foramen magnum, C2, C3 and S2. This indicates that, in a reciprocal fashion, movement in the sacral area has a direct link and can influence functions of the cranium and central nervous system. As the sacrum is in close proximity to the hip/pelvis, dysfunctions in this region and the process of childbirth overall can structurally alter normal sacral motion. Therefore, our patient’s postpartum state and multiple hip/pelvic dysfunctions may be contributing to her frequent headaches.

Osteopathic manipulative treatment can be extremely beneficial in restoring normal structure and range of motion during both pregnancy and the postpartum period. Daly et al researched sacroiliac subluxation as a common cause of low back pain in pregnancy and reported that “after manipulative therapy, 91% had relief of pain and no longer exhibited signs of sacroiliac subluxation.” Another study, conducted by Licciardone et al in 2010 and published in the American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, examined the potential benefit of using osteopathic manipulative treatment during pregnancy. This study shows that back pain decreased and back-specific functioning deteriorated significantly less in the groups treated with osteopathic manipulative treatment alongside routine obstetric care. Smallwood et al reported the use and effectiveness of OMT in reducing pain during delivery. Although these studies demonstrate that osteopathic manipulative treatment can be advantageous in diminishing low back pain during pregnancy and also during the delivery itself, further research is needed to fully examine the benefits of osteopathic techniques when specifically focusing on the postpartum period.
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The Bioenergetic Model in Osteopathic Diagnosis and Treatment: An FAAO Thesis, Part 1

Jan T. Hendryx, DO, FAAO

Abstract

The classic five-model concept of patient functioning, assessment and care is central to current osteopathic principles and practice. These primary models are biomechanical-structural, respiratory-circulatory, neurological, metabolic-nutritional and behavioral-biopsychosocial. These models are supported by principles of anatomy, physiology, biochemistry and psychiatry/psychology. Each model provides a lens through which the patient can be viewed, diagnosed and treated. These models are not typically utilized in isolation but have various degrees of overlap with the others.

A separate sixth osteopathic bioenergetic model exists that emphasizes the concepts of life force or inherent energy flow within the body, energetic communication with the environment, and tissue biophysical and bioelectrical properties. This model has been minimized in the past, but actually may provide the basis for all others.

Although other healing arts disciplines (e.g., acupuncture, Reiki) utilize the bioenergetic model quite rigorously, the osteopathic profession historically has been resistant to discuss, research or embrace bioenergetics as a plausible explanation for the efficacy of osteopathic manipulative treatment (OMT), or as a contributing etiology of somatic and visceral dysfunction and health and disease.

This thesis will review the classic five models and osteopathic bioenergetic model; explore bioenergetic properties of living systems in an attempt to offer explanations behind the palpatory experiences and therapeutic results of OMT; discuss two bioenergetically-based OMT techniques; explore an expanded osteopathic bioenergetic model and discuss why the bioenergetic model should be utilized regularly in patient diagnosis and care; and offer suggestions for osteopathic research into possible bioenergetic contributions to the causes and maintenance of somatic and visceral dysfunctions.

Literature Review

The Five Osteopathic Models

In the early 1980s, the Educational Council on Osteopathic Principles (ECOP) developed five conceptual models related to patient assessment, functioning, and care: biomechanical-structural, respiratory-circulatory, neurological, metabolic-nutritional and behavioral-biopsychosocial. These models are supported by principles of anatomy, physiology, biochemistry and psychiatry/psychology. Each model provides a lens through which the patient can be viewed, diagnosed and treated. These models are not typically utilized in isolation but have various degrees of overlap with the others.

The neuromusculoskeletal system is considered the core interface among the models that helps to integrate and coordinate basic body functions, while playing a primary role in a patient’s ability to adapt to multiple stressors (e.g., trauma, infection, nutritional, social) and maintain health. (See Figure 1)

The biomechanical-structural model views the patient primarily from a structural perspective. It emphasizes the anatomy of the muscles, spine and extremities and resultant functions of posture and motion. Osteopathic manipulative treatment (OMT) is directed toward normalizing biomechanical somatic dysfunctions (joints, myofascia), thus restoring normal structural integrity, physiological functioning, adaptive potential and homeostasis. Osteopathic manipulative techniques commonly utilized to normalize biomechanics include high-velocity low amplitude thrusting, muscle energy, counterstrain, ligamentous articular strain, myofascial release, facilitated positional release and Still technique.

The respiratory-circulatory model emphasizes normalization of a patient’s pulmonary and cardiovascular functions, and the circulation of fluids (blood, lymph, cerebrospinal fluid). Horisontal diaphragms (tentorium cerebelli, respiratory, pelvic), thoracic inlet, thoracic cage, extracellular matrix, lymphatics and viscera (heart, lungs, kidneys) are important anatomical structures addressed. Osteopathy in the cranial field, cervical, thoracic and rib mobilization, lymphatic drainage, respiratory diaphragm myofascial release, and visceral osteopathic manipulative techniques are helpful in restoring health
in combination with medications, surgery, intravenous fluids and even ventilation as appropriate.1

The metabolic-nutritional model encourages maximizing the efficiency of the patient’s natural self-regulatory and self-healing mechanisms.1,2 Homeostatic adaptive responses are orchestrated through positive and negative feedback systems to regulate various forms of energy exchange and conservation that occur through metabolic processes and organ functioning. The neuroendocrine-immune system and all internal organs are the focus. Lifestyle changes such as appropriate exercise, nutritional counseling and stress reduction are primary therapeutic modalities, as are appropriate use of medications. Osteopathic manipulative treatment includes lymphatic pump and visceral techniques.1,2

The neurological model addresses aberrations in the peripheral, autonomic and central nervous systems that may cause pain and dysfunction. These elements control, coordinate and integrate body functions.1 Proprioceptive reflex and muscle strength imbalances,6 spinal segmental facilitation,7,8 nerve compression and entrapment disorders, autonomic reflexes and visceral dysfunctions,9 nociceptive influences9 and brain dysfunctions10 are common problems. Manipulative treatment may include osteopathy in the cranial field,11 Chapman reflexes, rib raising, counterstrain, muscle energy, neural release2 and inhibition.9 Exercise therapy, including proprioceptive balance training, stretching and strengthening,6 as well as appropriate neurological evaluation, referral, surgery and medications may be appropriate in patient management.

The behavioral-psychosocial model addresses a patient’s mental, emotional, social and, to some degree, spiritual dimensions in relationship to health and disease.12 Mind-body interactions can have a huge influence on a patient’s wellbeing and functioning in society. Depression, anxiety, stress, habits, addictions and numerous other conditions must be addressed appropriately, often in conjunction with medications, psychiatry or psychotherapies, stress reduction, meditation, and support groups. Osteopathic manipulative treatment also may be helpful in the form of somatoemotional release with guided imagery13 and emotional release.14

The Bioenergetic Model in Osteopathic Medicine

Healers in various cultures have utilized bioenergetic models of healing throughout the millennia. Oriental medicine, for example, which originated in China at least 5,000 years ago, describes the concept of qi (ch’i)—energy or life force. Qi is thought to maintain homeostasis and/or cause aberrations in health. In other words, abnormalities in qi (excesses, deficiencies or stagnation) are thought to be the root cause of illness.15

Throughout the history of the osteopathic profession, numerous manipulative techniques have been developed to treat a variety of patient ailments and assist in maintaining homeostasis. These techniques are primarily based upon biomechanical, neurophysiological or lymphatic/fluid models.1 Not included in the five classic models above, and rarely discussed both in the medical literature and clinically, is the bioenergetic model. Bioenergetics is, perhaps, the all-encompassing model that underlies the classic five models, as well as life itself.
Bioenergetics is a unique field of research that falls under the category of biophysics, and is not emphasized, per se, as an important part of traditional biology or clinical medicine. It is the study of how endogenous and exogenous energy sources/forms influence and control living systems and their environment. Scientific study of bioenergetics of both plants and animals, including humans, has been prolific and ongoing for at least a century.16,17,18,19

Bioenergetic concepts actually have been a part of the language and practice of osteopathic medicine from the early beginnings. Andrew Taylor Still advertised and practiced as a magnetic healer prior to founding our profession.20,21 In his book *The Philosophy and Mechanical Principles of Osteopathy*, he discussed the concept of “biogen” as vital (energetic) force operating through protoplasm to produce living matter.22 He acknowledged that electric and magnetic forces in the body are likely important in health.23

In 1902 J.M. Littlejohn, DO,25 defined the vital force as a union of spirit and matter operating through its basic principle—the power of vibration. He noted that oscillatory rhythms exist in all living tissues in one form or another, and surmised that various levels of “vibratility” correlate with normal and abnormal functioning, i.e., health and disease. From his perspective, encouraging restoration of normal vibratory activity in the body was an important physiological principle behind osteopathic therapeutics.

In 1903 Hulett26 presented a detailed osteopathic energy model. He conjectured, “a normal condition of health is dependent on a proper coordination of energies, and that disease represents a state of living matter such that incoordination results.”

Around this same time, W.G. Sutherland was just beginning his lifelong journey to develop the cranial concept, a model for osteopathic treatment in the cranial field. In order to explain rhythmic motions of the cranium, sacrum and other tissues that he was palpating, he eventually (1939) defined what he called the primary respiratory mechanism and potency.27 The primary respiratory mechanism has five components, two of which may contribute the inherent driving forces behind the flexion-extension phases of movement. These are the inherent motility of the brain and spinal cord; and fluctuation of the cerebrospinal fluid.28

Magoun29 stated that fluctuation of the cerebrospinal fluid exhibited two characteristics which affect the whole body: potency, an energetic force, acting through a hydrodynamic mechanism; and electrical potentials acting in positive and negative phases. He suggested that electrical energy might be generated by the coiling and uncoiling of the neural tube. Additionally, he wrote, “In the cranial concept, human electrobiology is tremendously affected by its environment as it carries out a similar transmutation throughout the body.”

One of the greatest champions for the bioenergetic model was Robert Fulford, DO. Throughout much of his career, he relied on his understanding of bioenergy to explain his hands-on techniques and use of the percussion hammer.30,31,32 He continually questioned and sought answers to the true nature and greater scope of healing and osteopathic principles. He had a wide-ranging interest in research and therapies related to the energetic life force, including polarity therapy.33

Polarity therapy is an energetically-based system of health, diagnosis and treatment founded upon Eastern traditions (yogic/Ayurvedic and Chinese medicine).34 Developed by Randolph Stone, DO, DC, it addresses mind-body-spirit issues by therapeutically touching the body to (theoretically) affect electromagnetic wave and polarity imbalances.35-36

During the 1960s, Rollin Becker, DO, sought to define, explain and teach about various palpable energies that he experienced while treating patients with osteopathy in the cranial field. In a series of four articles (1964-65)37,38,39,40 he described and discussed bioenergy fields, biodynamic and biokinetic intrinsic energies and forces and their relationships to potency, fulcrums, diagnostic and therapeutic touch, health, disease and trauma. Biodynamic intrinsic forces/potencies were defined as the “physiological energy found in the health within the patient.” Biokinetic intrinsic forces/potencies were defined as the “pathological-physiological energies... found in disease and traumatic states within the patient.”41 In 1969, he abandoned these terms and concepts when he perceived they were not being appreciated and understood by colleagues.42

In 1987 Stephen M. Davidson, DO, developed an osteopathic diagnosis and treatment model which he called neurofascial release.43 Based on a paradigm of standing waveforms and interference vibratory patterns in tissues, this technique can be applied to musculoskeletal, visceral, cranial and even emotional dysfunctions. Neurofascial release will be discussed in more detail later in this paper.

A similar model of abnormal interference tissue wave patterns is thought to explain the “energy cyst” concept originating with Elmer Green, PhD, and described by MacDonald44 and Upledger.45 Energy cysts are defined as energetically encapsulated areas of the body which
contain increased energy entropy or disorganization
within the cysts. Theoretically, these result from
physical, mental, emotional or spiritual trauma, and
are similar to the concept of stagnant or blocked qi
in the acupuncture meridian system. They can be
detected by a number of methods and treated by
myofascial unwinding of the cyst area with subsequent
mobilization of related facilitated spinal segments and
the dural tube.44

In the late 1980s and early 1990s, Carlisle Holland,
DO, pondered the human body from a biophysics
standpoint. With a unique educational background
in aerospace engineering, molecular biology and
osteopathic medicine, he began discussing the colloidal
nature of tissues and their propensity to move between
solid or gel states. Sol-gel interconversion in colloids
occurs when certain energetic or physical stresses are
applied. Thus, changes in viscoelastic and viscoplastic
properties of the dura (and tissues in general) occur as
a result of trauma/dysfunction, and can normalize by
appropriate treatment with osteopathy in the cranial
field and other techniques.46 Holland went on to expand
these concepts with dynamical systems theory, chaos
theory, and fractal principles.47 His contribution to the
osteopathic bioenergetics model also will be discussed
subsequently.

Also during the 1990s, O’Connell researched and
applied bioenergetics and biophysics principles to the
treatment of fascia.48 She developed the bioelectric
fascial activation energy and holographic models for
an osteopathic manipulative technique she termed
bioelectric fascial activation and release (BFAR).49
This bioenergetic paradigm supports homeostasis
through the synthesis of fascial bioresponsive
electrical potentials, continuity and interface with the
ECF, compensatory pattern and environmental
communication. Treatment is accomplished through
holographic palpation and energetic fascial activation.48

Over the past decade or so, the interest in bioenergetics
in osteopathic medicine appears to have increased.
In 2003 Comeaux described a method of diagnosis
and treatment he termed facilitated oscillatory
release (FOR).50 The theoretical basis of which is the
mechanical facilitation of coherent vibrations in the
tissues resulting in normalization of function. He
characterizes the technique as a merger of principles
from Fulford’s percussor model, fascial release and
muscle energy.50

Other physicians also providing more recent insight
and experience to the bioenergetic model include
Lee (Spirit/extracellular matrix biophysics),51-53 Chikly
(traua vector release),50 and Hendryx53 and O’Brien
(dynamic strain-vector release).54 The contributions
of these authors will be discussed in more detail later.

In 1939 C.P. McConnell, DO, wrote, “keep in mind that
osteopathic pathogenesis is pre-eminently (essentially
fundamental) a field of beginning pathology firmly
grounded on biophysics. Herein arises the soundness
and comprehensiveness of osteopathic science.”55

Energy Medicine and the Scientific Basis of the
Bioenergetic Model

Energy is defined scientifically as the ability to do
work.56 The first law of thermodynamics maintains that
energy can be neither created nor destroyed. It can only
be converted to other forms of energy.57

Some familiar forms of energy include kinetic (motion),
potential (stored), chemical, electromagnetic, heat,
estatic, gravity and sound. Any form of energy can be
absorbed by and affect living systems. Living systems
also produce energy and interact with each other and
the environment through energy exchange.58

Energy medicine can be defined simply as the use of
energetic principles and phenomena to diagnose and
treat patients. Oschman has made the argument, “all
medicine is energy medicine and that the energetic
perspective holds the key to the future of the entire
medical enterprise.”59 He has thoroughly reviewed the
historical background, measurements, biophysics and
therapeutic uses of energetic phenomena in medicine
(including osteopathic) and human performance.58,60
Holland,47 Lee,61,62 O’Connell,63 Comeaux,30,31 Davidson,43
Hendryx and O’Brien44 and Handoll62 have expanded
and integrated these concepts to explain palpatory
findings of inherent body motions, somatic dysfunction
and manipulative therapeutics in the osteopathic
healing model.

Biophysics and bioenergetic principles are utilized every
day in medicine for diagnosis and treatment. Diagnostic
instrumentation that employ energetic measurements
include X-rays, computerized tomography (CT),
magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission
tomography (PET), electrocardiography (ECG),
electroencephalography (EEG), electromyography
(EMG), evoked potentials, infrared thermographic
imaging, magnetoencephalography (MEG),
magnetocardiography (MCG), ultrasound (US),
superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID)
magnetometry (biomagnetometry), pulse oximetry and
skin surface potential measuring devices.

“Conventional” treatment modalities that utilize
various forms of energy include ultrasound, electrical
stimulation, electric/magnetic bone stimulation,
diathermy, X-irradiation, gamma-irradiation, light therapy (full spectrum, ultraviolet, laser, infrared), electrocautery, pacemaker, defibrillator, neurofeedback and music therapy. Osteopathic manipulative treatments of various types utilize mechanical energy, at the least.

The National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM/NIH) has designated and is supporting research into eight categories of complementary and alternative medicine:61

1. Alternative systems
2. Botanicals/herbal medicines
3. Biofield therapies (energy medicine/bioelectromagnetics)
4. Manipulative/manual/therapeutic bodywork
5. Movement therapies
6. Mind-body interactions
7. Pharmacologic/biologic
8. Diet/nutrition/lifestyle changes

Categories three and four obviously apply to the central theme of this thesis. At this point, it is important to define various terms related to the bioenergetic model as these terms do not appear in conventional osteopathic resource literature.

Beverly Rubik, PhD, has defined the biofield as “the complex, extremely weak electromagnetic field of the organism hypothesized to involve electromagnetic bioinformation for regulating homeodynamics.”64 The biofield has been measured by a number of devices58 and is concentrated within and immediately surrounds the physical body, although its boundaries are limitless. All cells, organs, organ systems and the extracellular matrix contribute energy to the biofield, with the heart and brain producing the two largest effects, respectively.58,60 Biofield therapy can be defined as any therapeutic modality which interacts and changes the biofield and its manifestations.64

**Bioenergy** is energy produced endogenously by living systems.58,60 The nature and sources of bioenergy are known in some instances, unknown in others. Much of it appears to manifest in the electromagnetic spectrum from extra low frequency fields (ELF) (<100 Hz) through 1015 Hz (visible light).58 Bioenergy is created and transmitted by a number of bioelectromagnetic and physiological activities inside living tissues and cells. Sources of bioenergy include biochemical reactions, ion influx/efflux through membranes (gating mechanisms), piezoelectric phenomena, mechanotransduction, electrical conduction through the neuromusculoskeletal system, blood circulation and electromechanical activity of the heart.58,60 Some unknown sources and types of bioenergy have to do with inherent motion of the craniosacral system (cranial rhythmic impulse) and primary respiratory mechanism, acupuncture meridian systems, qi, healing biofields, and subtle energy.60,65 Biofield energies may be directed and controlled through consciousness and intention.60,66

---
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Bioelectromagnetics is the study of the interaction between electromagnetic fields and biological living systems. Bioelectromagnetism describes the inherent ability of living cells, tissues and organisms to produce and emit magnetic and electrical fields, and the response of cells to electromagnetic fields.60

Oschman considers the human body to be a tissue-tensegrity piezoelectric matrix or liquid crystal under tension.60 From a biophysics standpoint, this characteristic allows the body to react to and create various frequencies and fields of energy. The human body also creates other forms of energy including heat, sonic, photic (light/photons), chemical and mechanical.60

The Bioenergetic Nature of Somatic Dysfunction

To an osteopathic physician, thorough evaluation of the neuromusculoskeletal system is key in accurately diagnosing and appropriately treating a patient. Intelligent palpation of changes in the position, motion, texture, tension and temperature of tissues gives important clues as to the status of a patient and response to treatment. Abnormal tissue texture changes, asymmetry and restriction of motion, and tenderness (i.e., T.A.R.T.) are the hallmarks of somatic dysfunction. Somatic dysfunction is classically defined as “impaired or altered function of related components of the somatic (body framework) system; skeletal, arthrodial and myofascial structures, and the related vascular, lymphatic and neural elements.”60 Commonly considered underlying etiologies of somatic dysfunction include poor body mechanics and posture, muscle imbalances, abnormal neurological reflexes, facilitation, emotional stressors, compensation from other areas of body or visceral dysfunctions, leg length discrepancies, localized strains and sprains, response to trauma and pain and others.68 Abnormal tissue texture changes palpated in tissues are generally assumed to be maintained by various reflexes in the neuromusculoskeletal system and also by biotensegrity abnormalities.68,69

Because of the energetic nature of living systems, several important questions arise. Can energetic dysfunctions in the body or biofield cause or contribute to somatic dysfunction and other health issues? If so, how might these manifest? Can bioenergetic osteopathic manipulative techniques be utilized to actually treat somatic, visceral or psychological dysfunctions? What are the energetic mechanisms behind these techniques?

Energetic phenomena in the body that can be palpated and used in treatment of somatic or visceral dysfunctions and psychosomatic conditions have been previously described and reviewed in depth.54 These include palpation of inherent motions (nervous system, cranial rhythmic impulse/primary respiratory mechanism, visceral, myofascial), still points, therapeutic pulses, thermal projections, vibratory fields, biodynamic and biokinetic energy fields, “energy cysts,” “listening,” fascial interference patterns, pathological strain-vectors and head trauma vectors.40 Osteopathic manipulative techniques that employ primarily a bioenergetic model in treatment include, but are not limited to, Fulford percussor treatment,32 myofascial release,60 bioelectric fascial activation and release,49 neurofascial release,43 dynamic strain-vector release,41 lymphofascial release60 and head trauma vector release.60

Holland71 makes a compelling argument for a biophysics and mathematically based system of integrative medicine which he terms “Dynamical Medicine.” Dynamical medicine follows a mathematical model and is named for its origin in dynamical systems theory, a branch of science concerned with chaos and fractals. Dynamical systems theory applies to all living systems in all dimensions and describes biological shapes and processes mathematically.

Physiological functioning in a dynamic living system is complex, and various parameters (blood pressure, blood chemistries and cell counts, heart and respiratory rates, body temperature, etc.), tend to hover within certain “normal ranges,” but never remain fixed. The domains of fluctuating parameter values were given the name of “strange attractors” by Lorenz.71

Through the lens of dynamical medicine, the human being is seen as an energetic vibrating system exhibiting fractal geometry in all dimensions. Fractals are mathematical processes that exhibit repeating patterns (waveforms) and occur in all of nature.72,73 In humans, fractals may be seen in the branching patterns of nerves, blood vessels, lymphatics, fascia, muscles, ligaments, bones and in the tissue organization of all organs in the body. In normal tissue, energy flows unhindered through the fractal system, and normal function ensues (i.e., strange attraction). In dysfunctional systems, energy flow through fractals is blocked. Blockage occurs at what is known as a “catastrophic bifurcation” of the fractal where the waveform collapses.71

The point where this catastrophic bifurcation emerges is mathematically known as a “point attractor.” Point attractors may be “trivial” or “non-trivial.” Trivial point attractors are reversible in their stressor effects on a system. The living system can revert back to normal
functioning with full fractal expression once the trivial point attractor is removed.\textsuperscript{71} Holland has described somatic dysfunction as a “matted fractal” where the energy flow is interrupted.\textsuperscript{69} Thus, reversible somatic dysfunction is an example of a trivial point attractor in the dynamical medicine model.\textsuperscript{71}

Non-trivial point attractors result in irreversible changes in the system. These produce permanent blocks in the energy flow and communication in the system and, if severe enough, will cause total collapse of the energy flow and fractal geometry of the system and death. Examples might include a cerebrovascular accident, fatal cardiac arrhythmia, liver or kidney failure.\textsuperscript{71}

Sol-gel interconversions have been postulated to underlie palpatory findings of abnormal tissue texture changes associated with somatic dysfunction.\textsuperscript{54,73,74} Holland emphasizes that all tissues, including the myofascia and extracellular matrix, are colloidal (a non-precipitating suspension) in nature and, as such, must follow colloidal physics principles.\textsuperscript{71} Colloids have physical properties of both liquids (sol) and solids (gel), and are able to absorb and store energy through their intermolecular bonds. Physical energy in the form of trauma and even electromagnetic energy and fields (i.e., point attractors) can cause sol-gel interconversions.\textsuperscript{54}
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Abstract: Second-year Osteopathic Student Evaluation of the Prevalence of a Positive Thomas Test and a Psoas Tender Point Before and After Treatment with Counterstrain Technique
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Objectives
Psoas muscle dysfunctions can cause low back pain. Osteopathic physicians use counterstrain to treat the tender point that can occur when there is a muscle contraction or spasm in the psoas. The Thomas test is a special test utilized to diagnosis a psoas spasm, and there have been several studies which established the Thomas test as an effective means to diagnose these dysfunctions. However, there have not been any studies that looked for a relationship between a positive Thomas test and psoas tender point presence. We had three objectives in this study:

1. to establish that subjects with a positive Thomas test would have higher odds of a positive psoas tender point;
2. to look for an improved Thomas test following treatment with the appropriate counterstrain technique (if the tender point was present); and
3. to collect data to determine the effectiveness of counterstrain treatment for the psoas muscle as performed by students.

Methods
Second-year medical students at New York Institute of Technology College of Osteopathic Medicine (NYIT-COM) utilized an osteopathic manipulative medicine (OMM) lab worksheet which asked students to work in pairs and perform a Thomas test, look for a psoas tender point, and if it was present, grade it on a pain scale of 0 to 10, treat it with counterstrain and then reassess the tenderness and Thomas test. Students recorded all responses on their worksheets, which were collected at the end of the laboratory session. The student responses were analyzed as data.

Results
Students with a positive Thomas test had a psoas tender point in a statistically significant higher proportion than those with a negative Thomas test (p=.04). If the subject with a positive Thomas test also had a psoas tender point that was treated, we found that 68.2% had an improved Thomas test, defined as decreased hip flexion of the affect side. Of all psoas tender points students found and treated, 3.4 was the initial mean number reported on the pain scale. After treatment the mean was reduced to 0.6, suggesting effective treatment of the psoas tender point (p<.001).

Conclusions
Our results have shown that in a healthy population of second-year medical students, the finding of a positive Thomas test increases the probability a psoas tender point is present twofold. The results also demonstrated that second-year students were effective in utilizing counterstrain technique to treat a psoas tender point when found. While not statistically significant, we also showed that a large percentage of positive Thomas tests can be improved with counterstrain treatment to the psoas.
Resolution of Post-traumatic Temporomandibular Joint Pain, Headache and Vision Changes with OMM in the Unified Field: A Case Report
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Abstract

This is a report of a case with symptoms of temporomandibular joint pain (TMJP), trismus, migraine headaches and associated left eye blurred vision daily for years. Previously seen and treated by non-osteopathic providers, this patient reported failed treatments including dental guards, muscle relaxers, over-the-counter analgesics and narcotics. Following a single treatment with osteopathic manipulative medicine (OMM) the patient reported resolution of her symptoms, allowing her to discontinue the use of specially made glasses with a left eye corrective lens.

Key Words: osteopathic manipulative medicine (OMM), osteopathic manipulative treatment (OMT), craniosacral, cranial, manual medicine, posttraumatic headaches, migraine, tension headache, cervicogenic headache, temporomandibular joint dysfunction, traumatic vision loss, zygomatic trauma, somatic dysfunction and unified field.

Introduction

Various headaches due to head and neck trauma are among the most reported symptoms following injury. According to the International Headache Society’s ICHD-3b, a distinguishing feature of posttraumatic headaches is the temporal relation to a known traumatic incident. Posttraumatic headaches are not fully understood; a specific diagnosis is not often assigned and an optimal course of treatment is not always provided for those who seek treatment.

Posttraumatic headaches can be similar to non-traumatic headaches. Traumatic headaches in athletes can have symptoms similar to tension, migraine, cluster and mixed posttraumatic headaches regardless of the severity of the head trauma. Based on ICHD-3b criteria, new onset headaches within seven days of head trauma are diagnosed as acute posttraumatic headache while continued headache after three months is termed persistent posttraumatic headache. Even mild head trauma without loss of consciousness or objective findings can cause new onset or exacerbation of headaches necessitating long-term management.

Diagnosing a patient with a migraine following a posttraumatic injury can be linked to a patient’s medical history and reported symptoms. Typical characteristics are phonophobia, photophobia and increased pain with physical exertion. The three most predictive characteristics for migraine diagnosis are disability, nausea and photophobia although no isolated characteristic is necessary to make the diagnosis of migraine.

Treatment for headaches can be based on the description of the headache, for example, tension type, cluster, cervicogenic or migraine. Headaches can be managed with medication, but some patients don’t tolerate medications due to the side effects, can’t take them due to the contraindications, may need additional treatment or choose alternative treatments. Pharmacological treatments for migraine headaches can include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, anticonvulsants, tricyclics, calcium channel blockers and triptans. Despite advanced drug therapies, there can remain sufferers with refractory headache who fail to respond to current pharmacologic treatments or return to baseline symptoms once medications are stopped. Pharmacological treatments are not suitable for all patients, nor are they universally effective.

Manipulative medicine may be useful as a treatment for headaches. Manipulative medicine has been described as being used to improve circulation, release restrictions in joints, reduce tension in muscles, fascia and dura mater, decrease nociceptive input and promote the normalization of the central nervous system. The literature on osteopathic treatment of posttraumatic headaches with vision restoration is sparse. Wingfield et al, Gorman, and Stephens.
et al. describe visual impairments successfully treated with manual manipulation in patients without posttraumatic headaches. A survey published by Jonson et al reports osteopathic physicians using OMM to treat headaches, concussions and diplopia. Electronic literature searches were carried out on Google Scholar, the National Library of Medicine (MEDLINE) and the Cochrane Databases for published material in the English language. Literature addressing the diagnosis and treatment of posttraumatic headaches, temporomandibular joint pain and dysfunction, and blurry vision treated with osteopathic manual manipulation was reviewed and included in this case report.

Report of Case
A 27-year-old female presented to physical medicine and rehabilitation for evaluation of chronic right-sided TMJP, trismus and daily migraines with associated blurry vision of the left eye. The patient stated her symptoms were the accumulation of two separate traumatic incidents. Following a physical altercation five years prior to treatment, the patient was struck on the right side of her head just anterior to her ear. Three years prior to treatment the patient reported a fall aboard ship where she struck her head against metal framing and injured her right shoulder, requiring a Bankart repair.

Following the first incident, the patient began to experience intermittent headaches that were unlike any previous headache she had experienced. Following the second incident, the patient states the headaches became more frequent, occurring every day with increased pain. Temporomandibular discomfort with eating and trismus also began following the second incident.

Over the course of four years, the patient was treated for temporomandibular joint dysfunction (TMJD), migraines and new onset blurry vision through various allopathic providers. The patient was fitted with dental guards to address her TMJP, but they provided no relief. The patient was also evaluated and treated by neurology for her headaches, resulting in medication with no success.

Imaging obtained through her previous treatment included a normal MRI of her head and a normal Panorex film of her jaw.

During her history of treatment, the patient was provided multiple drugs, including muscle relaxers (cyclobenzaprine), narcotics (hydrocodone with acetaminophen and oxycodone with acetaminophen), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory (naproxen) and tramadol. The patient explained that she has a history of being very sensitive to medications and found oxycodone to be too sedating; the hydrocodone and naproxen resulted in itching, and no relief was provided from the cyclobenzaprine or tramadol.

The patient’s review of systems included right-sided jaw pain, reduced oral opening and a corrective lens for her left eye blurred vision. The patient reported having persistent jaw pain with 8/10 pain most notably while she was eating. The patient reported that her headaches were daily and could last between 45 minutes to 24 hours and could range in severity from 4/10 to 8/10.

On physical exam the patient’s C3 was ER_S_L, and C4 was ER_S_R. Straight-line opening of the jaw with diminished opening was noted with lateral pterygoid restriction, marked right sphenoid torsion and temporal restriction. A torus palatinus was noted.

Treatment was based on osteopathic principles and practice and chosen as a result of clinical experience with the use of Osteopathy in the unified field. Treatment involved OMM techniques including myofascial release, muscle energy (ME), high-velocity low-amplitude (HVLA) and cranial techniques directed toward involving intraoral and extraoral locations, the rectus capitis posterior minor, associated myodural bridge and cranial bones to specifically address this patient’s individual response to treatment.

Immediately following the first treatment, the patient reported complete resolution of her symptoms and improvement was noted in the patient’s straight-line opening of the jaw.

The patient followed up two and three weeks after her initial treatment and reported her pain was 0/10. She had not experienced any migraines following her first treatment and no longer experienced TMJP. She also had not used her corrective lens since her first treatment. Twenty-four days following her first treatment, she was without restriction in any of her cranial bones. Her C4 was ER_S_L and was treated with facilitated positional release. She was a 0/10 pre-treatment and 0/10 post-treatment with resolution of her somatic dysfunction. Phone communication at thirty-five days post-treatment revealed she was still symptom free.

Discussion
In the fall of 1994, “The Cranial Rhythmic Impulse and Headache, A Synthesis for Clinicians and Scientists Working Toward Mutual Education” was published. Numerous areas of further study were proposed,
including suggestions for furthering our understanding of anatomic relationships in regard to headache. Among the topics covered in the paper were the dura, emissary veins, scalp tension, the palpation of external head and body tissues, intervertebral disc spaces and their relationship to proprioception, blood flow, cerebrospinal fluid flow, cranial rhythmic impulse and hormones as they relate to headache.

Working independently in a pilot study in December 1994, Hallgren, Greenman and Rechtien looked at the MRI imaging of six patients in regard to finding atrophy of the rectus capitis major and minor muscles in some subjects with chronic head and neck pain.

Again working independently in May 1995, Hack, Koritzer, Robinson, Hallgren and Greenman identified connections between the rectus capitis posterior minor (RCPMi) and spinal dura mater at the atlanto-occipital junction.

The term unified field has been discussed in physics for years and has been in use since at least the mid-nineteenth century. In physics many scientists worked to describe the inter-relationship between the fundamental forces of nature and particle behavior within a single framework. In October 1995 the author articulated the concept of the Unified Field in Osteopathy, which he originated, in a presentation given to the American Academy of Osteopathy at the American Osteopathic Association meeting held in Orlando, Florida. In this presentation the author named the myodural bridge. The clinical implications of a cervical myodural bridge were published in the winter of 1997 by Hallgren, Hack and Lipton, again documenting the above. Numerous articles have mentioned these topics since.

This unified field involves Osteopathy in the Unified Field. The understanding of the unified field is based on concepts of Osteopathy first enunciated by Andrew Taylor Still, the founder of the osteopathic profession and carried forward by William Garner Sutherland, Harold Magoun, Viola Frymann, Robert Fulford and countless other skilled osteopathic practitioners. This unified field is a synthesis of basic science, allopathic, dental and osteopathic thought regarding the anatomy and its clinical implications, both with respect to diagnosis and treatment—in other words, the unified relationship between the many central and peripheral mechanisms that interact in dynamic homeostasis. The literature on and the acceptance of the unified field in osteopathy continues to grow to such an extent that it was the topic of the American Academy of Osteopathy’s annual convocation in Louisville, Kentucky, March 2012.

A tenet of osteopathy states that structure and function are reciprocally interrelated. Abnormalities of the musculature or the osseous joint complexes of the cervical spine have been implicated in head pain. Painful stimuli can elicit a heightened sympathetic response that can cause vasoconstriction, chemical changes, and more muscle contraction. Increased muscle tension can translate through extra-cranial connections like myodural bridges in the upper cervical spine. Dysfunction of the upper cervical region following injury has also been implicated in abnormal function of the jaw. Severinson et al report an increased risk of developing TMJD in women with cranial cervical symptoms following neck injuries and posttraumatic stress. Osteopathic treatment resulted in the use of less medicine in one study comparing the treatment of TMJD to non-manipulative treatment.

Craniomaxillofacial Osteopathic Medicine (OMM) involves the treatment of cranium and its interrelationship with the body as a whole, including a system of diagnostic and therapeutic modalities with application in treating disease. Sandhouse et al studied myopia and hyperopia and determined that patients who underwent cranial treatment had improvement in their visual acuity following a single treatment. Their treatment was said to involve manipulation of the sphenoid bone and was directed at releasing fascial and bony restrictions, thereby normalizing the innervation and subsequent function of the eyes.

There are times when surgery is necessary to treat the body. Examples of surgery in a condition that might be favorably treated with OMM would be with respect to piriformis syndrome and with respect to a case report on chronic headache relief after section of suboccipital dural connections by Hack and Hallgren.

The use of manipulative medicine is an effective alternative to pharmacological and surgical treatments with respect to headaches. Manipulation as a treatment for migraines, cervicogenic and tension headaches has been reported to be effective at reducing the frequency and severity of headaches. Studies have reported the long-term effects of OMM treatments still being efficacious 12 months or greater following manipulative treatment. Addressing dysfunction of the musculature or the joint complexes of the cervical region, where pain may originate, could explain the resolution of patients’ symptoms following treatment of that same area. Physical attempts at treatment of headache by accessing seemingly
unrelated peripheral locations are not confined to manipulation of the neck. Osteopathic physicians’ approach to patient care is rooted in individualized treatment with respect to each patient as a result of a comprehensive examination.\textsuperscript{74,75} Examples might be the anecdotal use of pressure in the hand in the web space between the first and second digit and numerous studies reviewed in evaluating the evidence for the use of acupuncture for relief of headache.\textsuperscript{76}

Yet, there is more to examine in the close clinical correlation between symptom and structure involved in headaches, particularly in tension headaches. It is common clinical knowledge that a headache is often described by patients as starting at the base of the skull (RCPM, myodural bridge location and dural connections) and progressing to scalp tension (frontalis contraction and a combined feeling of a band of stricture around the head). Perhaps interruption of the headache is achieved by manipulating tissue, causing a reset of the myodural connection functioning as a strain gauge? Perhaps with rapid vasodilation of arterioles commonly described in migraine headache theory as irritating dura, the body’s attempt at blood flow regulation may involve the emissary veins? One interesting review noted that thermoregulation could reverse venous flow through emissary veins of the skull.\textsuperscript{49} Nontraumatic headaches can begin with a thought, the consequences of which are felt in the unified field. If the scalp tension is initiated by a strain gauge mechanism responsive to OMM, then severing the relationship might not be the first consideration. The clinician may be able to manipulate the unified field in support of the body’s effort to restore homeostasis.\textsuperscript{40} A common knowledge concept taught in medical schools across the country is the relationship between head trauma and the need for reduction of intracranial pressure through the use of hyperventilation to protect brain function. This is an example of using the secondary respiratory mechanism to influence the internal biochemistry of cerebral spinal fluid far afield with the aid of chemoreceptors on the floor of the fourth ventricle. Interesting that the OMM successfully applied in this case involves anatomy so close to the cerebrospinal fluid, the dura, the attached myodural bridge and the changes in respiration we as clinicians treating headache so often witness our patients undergo during application of OMM. Andrew Taylor Still as a surgeon thought long and hard on alternatives to surgery where appropriate. As a result, osteopathic physicians and surgeons work in concert with colleagues across many disciplines to further our understanding of how the human body works in such a wondrous way.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Following a single in-office OMM treatment, the patient was provided with complete resolution of her complaints of TMJP, daily migraines, trismus and blurry eyesight that had been present daily for years.
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   e. The theory describing subatomic particle behavior observed from colliding accelerated latte molecules
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Abstract: Usefulness of Video Learning for Osteopathic Manipulative Medicine Techniques in the Classroom and Clinical Setting

Melissa Meghpara, OMS III; Sheldon C. Yao, DO; Theodore B. Flaum, DO; Elizabeth Caron, DO; and Michael J. Terzella, DO

Editor’s Note: This abstract was presented as part of the Louisa Burns Osteopathic Research Committee poster competition at the 2013 AAO Convocation in Orlando, FL.

Context
The current methods of teaching osteopathic manipulative medicine (OMM) to osteopathic medical students (OMS) may be improved by the incorporation of video learning into school curricula. Mann and Eland have demonstrated that student self-efficacy evaluations were higher with video learning and instructor feedback versus instructor demonstration and paired practice for the Spencer technique.1 Dilullo et al have also demonstrated the use of video learning as a useful tool in anatomy dissection preparation and understanding; however, it is necessary to expand the assessment of video learning to all OMM techniques as well as the usefulness of these videos in the classroom and clinical settings in order to improve the technical skills of OMS.2,3

Objectives
To measure student perception of the usefulness of OMM videos as a learning tool in the classroom and clinical setting.

Methods
The study employs an online survey to evaluate the usefulness of OMM videos to improve understanding of osteopathic treatment techniques for all current New York Institute of Technology College of Osteopathic Medicine (NYIT-COM) students in both the classroom and clinical settings. The study is conducted at the NYIT-COM in Old Westbury, NY. All current NYIT-COM students are included in the study. Any student not currently enrolled at NYIT-COM will be excluded. Outcome measurements are based upon the interpretation of Likert scale responses by the participants of this survey.

Results
Data collection and analysis are pending. (Editor's note: Please see AAOJ, Vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 24–30, for final analysis.)

Conclusion
We expect the results of this study will provide information that could influence both the classroom and clinical settings. In the classroom setting, we anticipate that the use of video learning for OMM techniques will be integrated into osteopathic medical school curricula as an additional learning tool. In the clinical setting, the addition of video learning to the curriculum will also promote the use of osteopathic manipulative techniques. Additionally, the study could provide a valid rationale for offering access to OMM videos for residents and attending physicians in order to promote the use of OMM in clinical practice.
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AAO Booth in the Exhibit Hall (Broadmoor Hall B)

Thursday, March 20 • 9:10–9:40 am

Basic Musculoskeletal Manipulation Skills: The 15-Minute Office Encounter

Michael P. Rowane, DO, FAAFP, FAAO; Paul Evans, DO, FAAFP, FACOFP

This book is for the primary care provider who wants a basic guide to managing commonly seen clinical problems that are amenable to musculoskeletal manipulation. The assessments and techniques presented rapid, efficient, and specifically designed for use during a 15-minute office visit. Chapters include objectives, illustrative cases with answers, clear illustrations to highlight clinically important anatomic landmarks, assessment tips, treatment techniques, and key summary points.

$99.95; 339 pp., Hardcover, ISBN: 0-940668-27-0

Thursday, March 20 • 4:00–4:30 pm

Osteopathy and Swedenborg: The Influence of Emanuel Swedenborg on the Genesis and Development of Osteopathy, Specifically on Andrew Taylor Still and William Garner Sutherland

David B. Fuller, DO, FAAO

This book demonstrates the influence of Swedenborg’s ideas on the creation and development of osteopathic medicine, especially in regards to body/mind/spirit and the anatomical inter-relationship of the nervous system, fascia and fluids throughout the body. This includes a study of cranial osteopathy and Swedenborg’s paradigm of the brain and soul-body interaction, comparing concepts such as Swedenborg’s spiritual fluid and Sutherland’s Primary Respiratory Mechanism. In the process, the book traces the influence of Swedenborg’s ideas across 19th-c. America, specifically through the metaphysical/healing movements of transcendentalism, spiritualism, new thought and theosophy.

$65.00; 607 pp., Hardcover, ISBN: 978-0-910557-82-5

Friday, March 21 • 10:00–10:30 am

Clinical Applications of Counterstrain: Compendium Edition by Harmon L. Myers, DO

William H. Devine, DO; Christian Fossum, DO (UK); John C. Glover, DO, FAAO; Michael L. Kuchera, DO, FAAO; Randall S. Kusunose, PT, OCS; Richard L. Van Buskirk, DO, FAAO (Contributing Authors)

A powerful tool to treat chronic and acute pain, Counterstrain’s gentle but logical manipulations can effect immediate, often lasting relief, and help maximize the body’s natural inclination toward health. Case histories and standardized, muscle-specific treatment positions bring the author’s expertise to beginners and advanced practitioners alike. In this at-a-glance presentation, Harmon L. Myers, DO, shares a lifetime of insight, and a step-by-step approach for clinicians of all disciplines to quickly and effectively diagnose and treat much of what ails us. This book is a comprehensive resource for students, instructors, clinicians and practitioners in osteopathic manipulation, physical therapy and integrated medical disciplines.

$142.95; 234 pp., Sprial-bound, ISBN: 0978-0-9633658-1

Friday, March 21n • 3:30–4 pm

Exploring Osteopathy in the Cranial Field

Raymond J. Hruby, DO, FAAO

This textbook is designed for the interested practitioner, and for the beginning to intermediate student of this topic. It can be easily used as an accompanying text or manual for a first or second course in osteopathy in the cranial field, and some topics are useful for more advanced study. It would be especially suitable for use in a 40-hour first or second level course in this topic.

$59.95; 164 pp., Sprial-bound, ISBN: 978-0-9887511-0-1
Abstract

Context
Enuresis can cause significant stress and embarrassment for children and their parents. Spontaneous resolution rates of primary nocturnal enuresis (PNE) decrease as children increase in age.

Objective
To examine the efficacy of osteopathic manipulative treatment (OMT) as a treatment for monosymptomatic PNE.

Method
A retrospective chart review was conducted of patients with a diagnosis of PNE or enuresis treated with OMT in a primary care office from September 2010 through May 2012.

Results
Charts of six patients, ages eight to 14, were included. Out of six children treated, one was lost to follow-up, one did not respond to the follow-up inquiry about participation in the study, two fully resolved and two significantly improved. Of the two who improved, one improved greatly when he finished playing football, a collision sport, for the season.

Conclusion
OMT is an effective modality to reduce or resolve enuresis in children. Due to the small sample size, the numbers are not statistically significant. However, this successful pilot study indicates the need for a study with a larger sample size to yield more information on the effectiveness of treating PNE with OMT.

Introduction
Primary nocturnal enuresis (PNE) is defined as bedwetting in a child five years or older who has not been dry overnight for a period of six or more consecutive months. PNE is divided into two categories, monosymptomatic and non-monosymptomatic enuresis, the latter of which will not be discussed in this study. The prevalence of PNE (Figure 1) varies slightly from country to country but is consistent as it decreases with age and is more common in boys than girls. On average, the yearly rate of spontaneous resolution of monosymptomatic enuresis is 15%; although this rate decreases the longer the enuresis is present. Bladder maturation involves autonomic and somatic nerves that synapse at multiple locations within the brain and spinal cord. Normal function includes ability to have urine storage at low pressure with high outlet resistance and voiding with low outlet resistance and sustained detrusor contraction. Newborn bladders fill without resistance, and voiding results from uninhibited contraction. This function is thought to be coordinated via the lower spinal cord and/or the brain, and thus, neurologically stimulating activities will cause voiding in this age group. Bladder capacity increases over the next three to four years in a disproportionate relationship to the surface area of the body. Bladder control is achieved slowly. The child first becomes aware of bladder filling, then gains the ability to voluntarily inhibit detrusor contractions, and lastly coordinates sphincter and detrusor function. Daytime control is usually achieved by approximately four years of age, and nighttime control usually develops months to years later but is expected by five to seven years of age. Causes of...
PNE include one or a combination of the following: maturational delay, genetics, small bladder capacity, nocturnal polyuria, abnormal secretion of antidiuretic hormone (ADH), abnormal detrusor activity, disturbed sleep and/or psychological disorders.\textsuperscript{15}

Standard treatment for PNE includes motivational therapy including smile/star charts, bladder training, alarms, dry-bed training, biofeedback and medication, including but not limited to DDAVP (desmopressin).\textsuperscript{1,3,10,17} If standard and complementary treatments fail, or if there is a suggestion of an organic or psychological cause for PNE, the child can be referred to the appropriate specialist. Guidelines for referrals to a pediatric urologist come from a myriad of sources.\textsuperscript{10} Referral to a pediatric urologist should be considered if any of the following conditions exist or are suspected: Type 1 diabetes mellitus, constipation, urinary tract infection, developmental delay, emotional problems, psychological problems, learning difficulties or recurrent enuresis. Comorbidities for PNE include decreased weight, decreased growth, shorter stature, anxiety, interpersonal conflicts with parents, siblings and peers, and the stigma of being a bed wetter, especially in older children and adolescents.\textsuperscript{1,7,10}

We hypothesize that OMT can be an effective, complementary treatment option for children.

Methods

Following institutional review board approval, a retrospective chart review was conducted on patients seen at Rocky Vista Health Center (RVHC) in Parker, CO, from September 2010 through May 2012 who had the diagnosis of PNE and received OMT. The charts of six children were reviewed by two osteopathic physicians, a pediatrician and a family practice/neuromuscular medicine specialist for information pertaining to the diagnosis, the treatment modalities and the subjective effectiveness of such treatment. Many of these patients presented to the clinic for standard pediatric care or for OMT of other body regions. Several of the patients were on the standard regimen of DDAVP (desmopressin), nighttime fluid restriction and bed wetting alarms, or a combination thereof. None had received OMT in the past. No specific OMT protocol was followed, as the treatment plan was individualized for each patient. The children ranged in age from eight to 14 years, one girl and three boys, three of whom were Caucasian and one was of mixed race. The number of OMT treatments ranged from three to 15 sessions over a period of five to 12 months, with an average of seven treatment sessions per patient (Chart 1). Based on findings determined during the session’s examination and assessment, OMT was applied to each patient’s regions requiring treatment. The most common areas treated were the pelvis, sacrum, thoracic spine and lumbar spine. The most common technique utilized was ligamentous articular strain. Other areas addressed included the cranium, to help treat the parasympathetic control to the kidneys via the vagus nerve; the sacral plexus via the sacrum, to address the parasympathetic control to the bladder itself; and the rib heads and thoracic and lumbar vertebrae from T10 to L2, which regulate sympathetic control to the kidneys, ureters and urinary bladder respectively.

Results

Charts from six patients, ages eight to 14, with a diagnosis of PNE who were treated with OMT, were included in the retrospective analysis. Of the six children treated, one was lost to follow-up, one did not respond to the inquiry about participation in the study, two fully resolved and two significantly improved, one of which improved greatly when he was finished playing football, a collision sport, for the season.

Discussion

There are multiple studies on many of the treatment modalities mentioned above with varying results. There is little research published on manual therapies for PNE itself. Two were found during a review of the literature, one a study by a German osteopath on OMT for enuresis\textsuperscript{11} and the other a study using Osteopathically-based manual physical therapy for pediatric dysfunction voiding.\textsuperscript{8} Both studies, of small sample size, show symptomatic improvement. These studies combined with this chart review should provide a foundation for a larger, multicenter clinical trial of OMT for primary nocturnal enuresis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effectiveness of OMT in Nocturnal Enuresis</th>
<th>Time Frame</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient</strong></td>
<td><strong>Number of Treatments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZP</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TW</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

This retrospective chart review suggests OMT may be an effective treatment for children with PNE, augmenting the benefits of conventional medication and behavioral management of monosymptomatic enuresis. Further study with a larger sample size would yield more information regarding the usefulness of OMT in treating PNE.
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May 14-17, 2014
Michigan Osteopathic Association 115th Annual Spring Scientific Convention COBO Center, Detroit, MI
CME: 30 Category 1-A Credits Anticipated
(1 hour for AOBNMM)
Phone: (800) 657-1556
https://www.mi-osteopathic.org/2014SpringConvocation

June 14-18, 2014
June Introductory Course in Osteopathy in the Cranial Field
Course Director: Eric J. Dolgin, DO, FCA
Sheraton Indianapolis City Centre, Indianapolis, IN
Phone: (317) 581-0411  Fax: (317) 580-9299
Email: info@cranialacademy.org
Website: www.cranialacademy.org

June 19-22, 2014
Osteopathic Cranial Academy Annual Conference: Beyond Sutherland’s Minnow: Anatomy, Perception and Treatment
Conference Director: Melvin R. Friedman, DO
Sheraton Indianapolis City Centre, Indianapolis, IN
Phone: (317) 581-0411  Fax: (317) 580-9299
Email: info@cranialacademy.org
Website: www.cranialacademy.org

July 14–July 18, 2014
Expanding Osteopathy into the Cranial Field: Viola M. Frymann Basic Course
Program Chair: Ray Hruby, DO
American Academy Of Pediatric Osteopathy with Osteopathy’s Promise to Children, San Diego, CA
CME: 40 Category 1-A AOA credits anticipated
Website: www.the-promise.org

July 19–21, 2014
Intensive Course in Pediatric Osteopathy
Program Chair: Shawn K. Centers, DO
American Academy Of Pediatric Osteopathy with Osteopathy’s Promise To Children, San Diego, CA
CME: 24 Category 1-A AOA credits anticipated
Website: www.the-promise.org